

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,128	03/19/2004	Jui-Jen Yueh	TSAI29.001AUS	8915
20995	7590 09/20/2005			INER
KNOBBE M 2040 MAIN S	IARTENS OLSON &	NGUYEN,	DUNG T	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA	92614		2871	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/805,128	YUEH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui et al., US Patent No. 5,734,457, in view of Kishimoto et al., US Patent No. 6,281,960.

Regarding claims 1-6 and 8-10, Mitsui et al. disclose a color display module (400) with backlight (401) (figure 4) comprising:

an upper substrate (13a"), in which a plurality of first color layers (B/G/R) (14") having a thickness of 2µm (col. 7, ln. 32) formed upon the upper substrate (13a") with a plurality of first black matrices (14a"), an upper protective layer (22") and an upper conductive layer (23") cover thereon;

Application/Control Number: 10/805,128

Art Unit: 2871

a lower substrate (13b"), in which a plurality of thin film transistors (TFT)(25") formed on the upper substrate (13b"), a plurality of second color layers (B/G/R)(37) with thicknesses in a claimed range (col. 12, lines 50-51), and a lower conductive layer (24");

. a liquid crystal layer (12").

Mitsui et al., however, do not disclose a plurality of second black matrices and a lower protective layer. Kishimoto et al. do disclose a plurality of color layers (6a/6b/6c) with a plurality of second black matrixes (BM) therebetween and a lower protective layer (72) being formed over a lower substrate (2)(see figure 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a second black matrices and a lower protective layer over the black matrices as shown by Kishimoto et al. in order to obtain an excellent display quality (see col. 5, lines 62-63).

Regarding claims 7, although Mitsui et al. do not disclose an identical thickness for the first color layers and the second color layers, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form a first color layer and a second color layer having a same thickness, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

5. Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui et al., US Patent No. 5,734,457, in view of Kishimoto et al., US Patent No. 6,281,960, further in view of Tombling et al., US Patent No. 6,373,549.

Regarding the above claims, the modification to Mitsui et al. discloses the claimed invention as described above except for a compensatory layer formed over the lower substrate.

Art Unit: 2871

Tombling et al. do disclose a retarder (6) can be formed over the lower substrate (2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a compensatory over a lower substrate as shown by Tombling in order to obtain a high resolution display (col. 4, ln. 26).

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 86\( b-217-9197\) (toll-free).

DN 09/19/2005 Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871